

Chemical Cost Comparison of a Conventional Deaerator vs a Liqui-Cel® Membrane Contactor System



Mixed bed ion exchange is often used to polish Reverse Osmosis (RO) permeate in many industrial water systems. This process has been utilized for several years and it is well known that the management of the dissolved carbon dioxide (CO₂) in the water is critical for an efficient operation.

CO₂ typically accounts for the largest anion

load in a DI system and it is normally handled using one of the following methods:

- 1) Conventional degasifying unit, such as a Forced Draft Tower
- 2) Liqui-Cel® Membrane Contactors

This technical brief compares the performance and operating costs of membrane contactors with conventional forced draft tower technology for CO₂ removal. This brief will also show why membrane

degassing is the economical and value added technology of choice for oxygen and carbon dioxide removal from water.

This comparison is based on an actual water system currently operating at a plant in China. The system consists of three 110 m³/hr lines each line consisting of an RO+Mixed Bed system. Due to high chemical (HCL and NaOH) regeneration costs the plant considered deaerating the water prior to the Mixed Bed. The plant evaluated two system designs:

RO+Forced Draft Degassing Tower+Mixed Bed and RO+Liqui-Cel+Mixed Bed.

Lanxess' Lewatit 4.17 software was used to size the mixed-beds and calculate the chemical consumption for three 110m³/hr system designs:

- 1) RO+Mixed Bed (Current System)
- 2) RO+Forced Draft Tower+Mixed Bed
- 3) RO+Liqui-Cel+Mixed Bed

The software was validated by comparing the actual chemical consumption at the plant to the chemical consumption calculated by the software. The calculation was verified as matching the actual operating consumption.

Table 1: Mixed Bed Regeneration Chemical Consumption Per Cycle

System Configuration	Outlet CO ₂	MB Size	MB Gross Product Water	Net Volume	Chemical Consumption per Cycle		Neutralization HCL Consumption
					30% HCL (Kg)	100% NaOH	30% HCL
Without CO ₂ Removal	20 ppm	D2700xH3700	2755 m ³ (727,794 gal)	2640 m ³ (697,414 gal)	860	516	556
With CO ₂ Removal (FDA Removal)	8 ppm	D2400xH3350	2723 m ³ (719,341 gal)	2640 m ³ (697,414 gal)	620	372	450
With CO ₂ Removal (Liqui-Cel)	1.5 ppm	D2100xH3300	2702 m ³ (713,793 gal)	2640 m ³ (697,414 gal)	466	278	376

Notes:

- 1) Forced Draft Tower outlet CO₂ was set as 8.0 ppm taking into account seasonal temperature fluctuations impacting the towers performance in cooler weather.
- 2) Liqui-Cel® CO₂ outlet was set at 1.5 ppm to reduce capital costs of the system. Lower CO₂ outlets are achievable and may be considered depending on the needs of the plant.

As shown in table 2 the chemical cost savings of using a membrane system are over 120,000 RMB (17,593 USD) per year compared to a traditional deaeration system. This figure does not even include the added costs associated with waste water treatment and additional water required to operate the larger IX systems. There can also be additional capital cost savings because a smaller mixed bed system can be considered.

Membrane systems also have additional value added benefits to consider. The membrane acts as a barrier between the gas and liquid phase. This prevents particles and other contaminants in the air from contaminating the RO permeate. This is especially important in an environment where a deaerator may not be practical due to ambient air contamination. The membrane system is also modular and can be easily expanded to meet plant water demand growth.

Table 2: Chemical Costs Per 110 m³/hr System

System Configuration	30% HCL Consumption (metric ton)	HCL Cost ¹ RMB (USD) ³	NaOH Consumption ² (metric ton)	NaOH Cost RMB (USD)	Total Regeneration Cost ⁴ RMB (USD)	Total Yearly Regeneration Cost ⁴ RMB (USD)
Without CO2 Removal	1.416	878 (129)	0.516	1032 (151)	1910 (280)	697,150 (102,209)
With CO2 Removal (FDA Removal)	1.070	663 (97)	0.372	744 (109)	1407 (206)	513,555 (75,292)
With CO2 Removal (Liqui-Cel)	0.842	522 (75)	0.278	556 (82)	1078 (158)	393,470 (57,686)

Notes:

- 1) HCl costs based on 620 RMB/metric ton (\$91/metric ton)
- 2) NaOH costs based on 2000 RMB/metric ton (\$293/ metric ton)
- 3) Conversion rate for RMB to USD was 0.14661 on 11/2008
- 4) Total costs are based on 365 days/year

Technical Information: The technical information, recommendations and other statements contained in this document are based upon tests or experience that 3M believes are reliable, but the accuracy or completeness of such information is not guaranteed.

Product Use: Many factors beyond 3M's control and uniquely within user's knowledge and control can affect the use and performance of a 3M product in a particular application. Given the variety of factors that can affect the use and performance of a 3M product, user is solely responsible for evaluating the 3M product and determining whether it is fit for a particular purpose and suitable for user's method of application.

Warranty, Limited Remedy, and Disclaimer: Unless an additional warranty is specifically stated on the applicable 3M product packaging or product literature, 3M warrants that each 3M product meets the applicable 3M product specification at the time 3M ships the product. 3M MAKES NO OTHER WARRANTIES OR CONDITIONS, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OR CONDITION OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTY OR CONDITION ARISING OUT OF A COURSE OF DEALING, CUSTOM OR USAGE OF TRADE. If the 3M product does not conform to this warranty, then the sole and exclusive remedy is, at 3M's option, replacement of the 3M product or refund of the purchase price.

Limitation of Liability: Except where prohibited by law, 3M will not be liable for any loss or damage arising from the 3M product, whether direct, indirect, special, incidental or consequential, regardless of the legal theory asserted, including warranty, contract, negligence or strict liability.

3M, Membrana and Liqui-Cel are trademarks of 3M Company. © 2016 3M Company. All rights reserved. (TB68 Rev1)



**Industrial Business Group
Membranes Business Unit**
13840 South Lakes Drive
Charlotte, North Carolina 28273
USA

Phone: +1 704 587 8888
Fax: +1 704 587 8610

**3M Deutschland GmbH
Membranes Business Unit**
Öhder Straße 28
42289 Wuppertal
Germany

Phone: +49 202 6099 - 658
Fax: +49 202 6099 - 750

**3M Japan Ltd.
Membranes Business Unit**
6-7-29, Kita-Shinagawa,
Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo | 141-8684
Japan

Phone: +81 3 6409 5732
Fax: +81 3 6409 5827



www.liqui-cel.com